



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/801,112

03/16/2004

Yair Shachar

NAPEVC-6222US1

9190

28481

7590

05/24/2006

TIAJOLOFF & KELLY
CHRYSLER BUILDING, 37TH FLOOR
405 LEXINGTON AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10174

EXAMINER

RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/801,112	SHACHAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-50 and 53-60 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-50 and 53-60 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7-1-04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-4, 7-9, 11-16, 18-19, 21, 24-30, 53-55, 57-60, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cruickshank (US PAT: 6,704,294, filed 10-13-1999) in view of Thomson et al. (US 2001/0056466A1, filed 12-19-2000, hereinafter Thomson)

Regarding claim 1, Cruickshank discloses a method comprising: accepting information regarding an audio communication session among a set of audio communication terminals (110/120, fig. 1), wherein each of the subset of audio communication terminals is associated with a data collaboration terminal (112, fig. 1), (col. 3, line 38 – col. 5, line 8).

Regarding claim 25, Cruickshank discloses a method comprising: receiving information regarding audio session among plurality of audio communication devices (112/120, fig. 1), for each of the audio communication device, determining which, if any, of a set of data collaboration terminals (112/122, fig. 1) are associated with the audio communication device (col. 3, line 38 – col. 5, line 8).

Regarding claim 53, Cruickshank discloses a device comprising: a controller in (114, fig. 1) to accept information regarding an audio communication session among a set of audio communication terminals (110/120, fig. 1), wherein each of a subset of

Art Unit: 2614

audio communication terminals is associated with a data collaboration terminal (112/122, fig. 1), using an audio communication terminal (col. 3, line 38 – col. 5, line 8).

Cruickshank differs from claimed invention in not specifically teaching initiating a data collaboration session for an associated data collaboration terminal, using a audio communication terminal.

However, Thomson discloses communication system for voice first collaboration which teaches the following: initiating a data collaboration session for an associated data collaboration terminal, using a audio communication terminal (paragraph: 0006; 0038).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Cruickshank's system to provide for the following: initiating a data collaboration session for an associated data collaboration terminal, using a audio communication terminal as this arrangement would provide one of the methods, among many possible methods, for establishing data collaboration session following audio session as taught by Thomson.

Regarding claims 2-4, 7-9, 11-16, 18-19, 21,24, 26-30, 54-55, 57-60, Cruickshank further teaches the following: step of initiating data collaboration session includes at least the step of sending a data collaboration request to the relevant data collaboration terminal (for example 122/510, figs. 1, 5), embedding a data collaboration request within a PSTN call (fig. 5, col. 6 lines 3-15), step of accepting information regarding an audio communication session includes at least accepting from a telephony network the addresses of the audio communication terminals, determining which of the

Art Unit: 2614

set of audio communication terminals is registered in a database (118, figs. 1, 5), wherein data collaboration session is initiated if one of the audio communication terminals is registered in the database, comprising for each of the audio communication terminals (for example 110/112 or 110/510, figs. 1, 5), cross referencing the address of the audio communication terminal with the address of a data collaboration terminal (col. 4 lines 10-26), audio communication session includes permission information (this is implicit in as much as the collaboration cannot take place unless both parties agree), telephony network includes a PBX (114, fig. 1), data collaboration terminals communicate via an IP network (518, fig. 5), audio information for data collaboration is transmitted by the audio communication session, data collaboration includes the step of alerting the auto answer mode of the relevant data collaboration terminal (col. 4, line 66 – col. 5, line 8), accepting a request for a data collaboration session (col. 4 lines 59-65), determining at least two of the set of audio communication terminals are reregistered in a database (col. 4 lines 10-24), set of audio communication terminals are connected by a private branch exchange (114, fig. 1), the information is sent from the audio communication terminal using Dual-Tone Multi-frequency (col. 5 lines 37-39), step of starting data collaboration session includes at least transmitting a data collaboration request to the data collaboration terminal, an audio communication session includes at least the address of the audio communication device, the information regarding an audio communication session includes a telephone number, determining if an audio communication device is registered in a database (118, fig. 1), and determining an address of an associated data collaboration terminal (col. 4 lines 11-36), controller in

Art Unit: 2614

(114, fig. 1) is to accept from a telephony network the address of the set of audio communication terminals, the controller is to determine which of the set of the audio communication terminals is registered in a database (118, fig. 1), wherein data collaboration session is initiated if at least one of the audio communication terminals is registered in the database, the controller is to, for each of the audio communication terminals, cross reference the address of the audio communication terminal with the address of the data communication terminal, the audio information for data collaboration is transmitted by the audio communication session (col. 4 lines 11-36; col. 4, line 66 – col. 5, line 8).

3. Claims 32-36, 37-41, 42-44, 45-50, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thomson et al. (US 2001/0056466A1, filed 12-19-2000, hereinafter Thomson) in view of Fostick (US PAT: 6,856,809, filed 5-17-2001).

Regarding claim 32, Thomson teaches establishing server indicating that an audio session is taking place among a plurality of endpoints (figs. 1-2, 7), the internet location server (reads on 15, fig. 7) transmits a signal to the server, and server upon receipt of the signal, initiates a data collaboration between plurality of endpoints (paragraphs: 0006; 0022-025; 0027-0028; 0030; 0032-0049)

Regarding claim 45, Cruickshank discloses a method comprising: during an audio session between a plurality of users, receiving unique ID data from an audio communication terminal (paragraph: 0022), initiating a data collaboration session by a user, sending an indication to an audio communication terminal to initiate a data

Art Unit: 2614

collaboration session, and remotely activating a data a data collaboration session (paragraphs: 0006; 0022-025; 0027-0028; 0030; 0032-0049)

Regarding claims 33-36, 37-42, 43-44, 46-50, Thomson further teaches the following: database (paragraph: 0022) including data collaboration session enabled end points (112/512, fig. 6), server (11, fig. 2) transmits data collaboration request to the data collaboration terminal, signals indicating that an audio session is taking place include at least the address of an audio communication terminal, signals indicating that an audio session is taking place includes at least a telephone number , server is a videoconference server (implied in as much as the reference teaches conducting video conference, paragraph: 0005), the endpoints include audio communication terminals which communicate via PBX (paragraphs: 0017, 0038), endpoints include data collaboration terminals (fig. 2) which communicate via an IP network, audio information for data collaboration session is transmitted by the audio session , audio information is transmitted by DTMF, initiating data collaboration session includes the step of alerting an answer mode of the relevant endpoints, audio communication detection accepts a user request for data collaboration session, initiating registration application, thereby associating user's data collaboration terminal and audio communication terminal, putting the audio session on hold (this is implied as the users have to wait for setting up data session) to initiate a communication session with an SMS server (reads on 610, fig. 6), terminating the communication session and liberating the audio session, determining if there is a correlation between the ID data contained message and the data collaboration terminal associated with the ID data (figs. 9, 11), checking whether

Art Unit: 2614

data collaboration terminals are on line and ready for the communication (paragraphs: 0006; 0022-025; 0027-0028; 0030; 0032-0049)

Thomson differs from claimed invention in specifically teaching SMS server and using SMS message for establishing data collaboration/of conference.

However, Fostick discloses SMS conference which teaches the following: SMS server and using SMS message for establishing data collaboration/of conference (figs. 1-3; abstract; col. 2, line 40 – col. 3, line 45).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Thomson's system to provide for the following: SMS server and using SMS message for establishing data collaboration/of conference as this arrangement would provide one of the methods, among many possible methods, for establishing a conference.

4. Claims 5, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cruickshank in view of Thomson as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sullivan (US PAT: 5,351,296).

Regarding claims 5 and 22, the combination does not teach the following: silencing the DTMF data collaboration request.

However, Sullivan discloses financial transmission system which teaches the following: Silencing DTMF signals during transaction (col. 3 lines 48-54).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the combination to provide for the following: silencing the

Art Unit: 2614

DTMF data collaboration request as this arrangement would facilitate the user to avoid damage and discomfort to the listener's ear during transaction as taught by Sullivan.

5. Claims 6, 23, 31, and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cruickshank in view of Thomson as applied to claims 1, 25, 53 above, and further in view of Fostick.

The combination differs from claims 6, 23, 31, 56 in that although it teaches implementing a data collaboration request using telephone call (see abstract); but does not teach the following: implementing a data collaboration request using SMS and transmitting audio information by SMS.

However, Fostick discloses SMS conference system which teaches the following: implementing a data collaboration request (reads on conferencing) using SMS and transmitting audio information by SMS (fig. 6, and see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Cruickshank's system to provide for the following: implementing a data collaboration request using SMS and transmitting audio information by SMS as this arrangement would provide one of the methods, among many possible methods, for initiating collaboration request as shown by Fostick.

6. Claims 10 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cruickshank in view of Thomson as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kerr (US PAT: 5,844,600).

Regarding claims 10 and 20, the combination does not teach the following: inserting delay in audio communication session.

However, Kerr discloses methods and apparatus and systems for transporting multimedia conference data streams through a transport network which teaches the following: inserting delay in audio communication session (see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the combination to provide for the following: inserting delay in audio communication session as this arrangement would facilitate synchronizing information to suite application needs as taught by Kerr.

7. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cruickshank in view of Thomson as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hinderks (US PAT: 6,700,958, filed 7-3-2001, hereinafter Hinderks).

Regarding claim 17, the combination does not teach the following: audio data sent from the audio communication terminals is compressed with wide band audio.

However, Hinderks discloses method and apparatus for transmitting coded audio signals which teaches the following: audio data sent from the audio communication terminals is compressed with wide band audio (fig. 1, col. 3 lines 31-51).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the combination to provide for the following: audio data sent from the audio communication terminals is compressed with wide band audio as this arrangement would facilitate wide band audio which can be transmitted with in the available ban width as taught by Hinderks.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-50, 53-60 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Melur Ramakrishnaiah
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2643